



Linking Hypotheses, Outcomes, and Assessment Measures

Bonnie Spring

University of Illinois Chicago



I. Measurable Outcomes

- ◆ State hypotheses so that they specify measurable primary and secondary outcome constructs

Biobehavioral mood management treatment as compared to attention control will

- increase smoking cessation (primary outcome)
- decrease depression (secondary outcome)

among smokers with a prior history of major depression



II. Operational Definitions

- ◆ Operationalize each outcome by assessment measure(s)
 - **Smoking cessation** (*abstinence*):
 - *Self-report*
 - *Expired CO*
 - *Saliva cotinine*
 - **Depression** (*improvement*)
 - *Self-report (Beck, CES-D, PANAS)*
 - *Clinical rating (Hamilton Depression Scale)*
 - *Laboratory (Attentional bias toward negative cues)*
 - **Which?!?**



III. Choosing Assessments

- ◆ Use reliable and valid measures
 - (currently disparate standards for biomeasures versus ratings, but be prepared for change)
- ◆ Keep subject burden as low as possible
- ◆ Accommodate accepted “gold standard,” “best practices”
- ◆ Know and control sources of measurement error (e.g., cotinine for 48 hour abstinence)



III. Choosing Assessments

– ***Smoking cessation*** (*abstinence*):

- *Self-report*
- *Expired CO*
- *Saliva cotinine*

Use all?

- **Pros:** gold standard bioverification, multi-method triangulation on construct
- **Cons:** expense, burden, patient acceptance, increased missing data, unnecessary?
- **Options:** sample collection w/ random assay, bogus pipeline, random sample collection



III. Choosing Assessments

Depression

- *Self-report (Beck, CES-D, PANAS)*
- *Clinical rating (Hamilton Depression Scale)*
- *Laboratory (Attentional bias toward negative cues)*


Which?

- **Reliability AND sensitivity to change** (e.g., not trait neuroticism)
- **Construct validity**
 - Discriminant validity: High negative affect (kvetching) is ubiquitous (anxiety, substance abuse, medical illness). Depression core = low positive affect, anhedonia (PANAS)
 - Is insight/recognition of depression required (e.g, *nonpsychologically minded people*)? (attentional bias task)



IV. Measure who's excluded

- ◆ Categorize reasons for exclusion & tabulate cases
 - Uninterested
 - Couldn't reach
 - Insufficient severity (doesn't smoke enough)
 - Exclusionary comorbid condition
 - Alternative treatments
 - (for child) Parent declines permission



V. Measure when and why people go off protocol

- ◆ Got better, no longer interested
- ◆ Became ineligible
- ◆ Couldn't quit, got discouraged
- ◆ No longer interested
- ◆ Moved (job change)
- ◆ Adverse event
- ◆ Couldn't be reached

***BUT DON'T STOP ASSESSING
PRIMARY OUTCOME!!!***



IX Measure treatment process variables/mediators

- ◆ Treatment Adherence/Enactment
 - Treatment attendance, homework, skill performance, took meds
- ◆ Theoretically active treatment processes (like a manipulation check)
 - Depression, weight concerns, self-efficacy, reward value



X. Measure likely moderators

- ◆ Therapist skill, warmth, communication ability, experience, training background
- ◆ Setting variables (church vs. hospital, waiting room pamphlets about smoking, designated behavioral interventionist in MD office)
- ◆ Temporal variables (season, year, major events – 9/11, earthquake)



XI: Time Assessments Strategically

- ◆ When things are expected to happen
- ◆ At what field considers the accepted benchmark intervals (EOT, 6 mos, 1 year)